

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,891	02/26/2002	Jay Baker	2705-172	7487
20575 73	590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI	
PORTLAND,	*	100	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
ŕ			2157	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/083,891	BAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Salad E. Abdullahi	2157			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	·—	action is non-final.	pencution as to the morits is			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	75 G.G. 215.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(c)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Infon	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed 9/29/2005 has been received and made of record.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are pending. The rejection cited stated below.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reason.
- 4. Applicant alleges there is no indication or enablement in Herbert of providing a generic messaging structure that includes a transport protocol for routing of a user protocol over the IP transport.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Herbert discloses a programmable telecommunication switch that provides a user with the ability to define a desired signaling protocol, either "standard" or custom in nature, for performing any desired switching functions. Furthermore, Herbert's system permits the customer to assign, on a channel-by-channel basis, a desired protocol from among multiple protocols resident within a single switch (see col. 6, lines 35-64 and col. 9, lines 34-58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Herbert et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,088,749[hereinafter Herbert].

As per claims 1, and 14, Herbert discloses a method of tunneling any existing data, control-, or routing-related protocol through a generic Internet protocol (P) transport, the method comprising:

first providing a generic messaging structure that includes at least a transport protocol (Layer 4 protocol), a message buffer (see fig. 10), a source-address field and one or more data fields for transparent routing of a user protocol over the P transport (see figs. 5 and 10 and col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 12, line 16 to col. 13, line 14); and second providing an application program interface(API) to the generic messaging structure, the interface including a mechanism for a user to choose a desired transport and associated protocol for transparently routing the user protocol over the transport in accordance with the chosen transport protocol within the one or more data fields (see col. 9, 29-53 and col. 12, lines 21-24).

As per claim 2, and 15, Herbert discloses the method of claim 1 which further comprises:

creating a base class library including plural defined source and header files, and third providing a mechanism for deriving a transaction-based protocol-specific class that is compatible with the base class library (see col. 20, line 60 to col. 21, line 17)..

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 3-4, and 7-8, Herbert discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the user protocol includes one or more headers followed by an integer number of tag-length-value (TLV) trios compliant with the user protocol (see fig. 5)

As per claims 5 and 16, Herbert discloses a method of tunneling any existing data, control-, or routing-related protocol through a generic Internet protocol (P) transport, the method comprising:

creating a base class library including plural defined source and header files compatible with signaling system 7, telephony-centered, and any transaction-based protocol, the base class library further including base class constructors of virtual, copy, and assignment and generic access methods(see col. 20, line 60 to col. 21, line 17), and

first providing a mechanism for deriving a transaction-based protocol-specific class that is compatible with the base class library (see col. 20, line 60 to col. 21, line 17).

As per claim 6, and 17, Herbert discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising second providing a generic messaging structure that includes at least a transport protocol (Layer 4 protocol), a message buffer (see fig. 10), a source-address field and one or more data fields for transparent routing of a user protocol over the P transport (see figs. 5 and 10 and col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 12, line 16 to col. 13, line 14); and third providing an application program interface(API) to the generic messaging

Art Unit: 2157

structure, the interface including a mechanism for a user to choose a desired transport and associated protocol for transparently routing the user protocol over the transport in accordance with the chosen transport protocol within the one or more data fields (see col. 9, 29-53 and col. 12, lines 21-24).

As per claim 9, Herbert discloses an application programming interface for transparently routing data between sockets in an Internet protocol (1P) transport, the interface comprising:

a message buffer data structure defining a protocol-generic parent class, message, source-address and data fields for a chosen transport protocol(see figs. 5 and 10 and col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 12, line 16 to col. 13, line 14);

a message creation mechanism for creating a message and adding it to the message buffer data structure (see figs. 5 and 10 and col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 12, line 16 to col. 13, line 14);and

a protocol creation mechanism for deriving a protocol-specific child class that renders new protocol-specific sub-fields of said protocol field of said message buffer data structure (see col. 9, 29-53 and col. 12, lines 21-24).

As per claim 10-11, Herbert discloses the interface of claim 9 in which the data to be routed represent a defined protocol, wherein said message includes one or more headers followed by an integer number of tag-length-value (TLV) trios compliant with the defined protocol (see fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 12 and 13, Herbert discloses the interface of claim 11, wherein said message creation and protocol creation mechanisms include computer-readable and computer-executable software instructions, which includes software source code and headers in C/C++ programming language form (see col. 21, lines 42-54).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E. Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 5:00. If attempts to reach the

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/083,891

Art Unit: 2157

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ABDULLAHI SALAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Abdullahi Salad 12/11/2005